

Foaming Properties of Hot-Water Extracts of Pumpkin Leaf and Chickpea and Their Effects on White Pan Bread Baking

Hyeonmin Shin¹, Jihye An², Sungahm Ahn³, Hee Chung⁴, Yerim Na⁵, Sung Ho Lee⁵, Sung Hoon Park^{6,7}, JaeHwan Lee⁸, and Donghwa Chung^{1,4*}

¹ Food Technology Major, Graduate School of International Agricultural Technology, Seoul National University ²Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University ${}^{3}CJ$ BIO Research Institute, CJ CheilJedang

⁴Institute of Food Industrialization, Institutes of Green Bio Science and Technology, Seoul National University ³Research Institute of Food and Biotechnology, SPC Group

 6 Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Life Sciences, Gangneung-Wonju National University

 \mathcal{I} Haeram Institute of Bakery Science, Gangneung-Wonju National University

8 Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, Sungkyunkwan University

Abstract

This study investigated the surface tension and foaming properties of the hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea, as well as the effects of the plant hot-water extracts on white pan bread baking. Propylene glycol alginate (PGA), a synthetic emulsifier widely used in bakery, was used as a control. Pumpkin leaf water extract showed lower surface tension and comparable foaming capacity, compared with chickpea water extract and PGA solution when total solid $\geq 0.15\%$ (w/w). Chickpea water extract showed the highest foam stability when total solid $\geq 0.15\%$ (w/w). The dough was found to have a weak gel structure, and its viscoelastic properties were not significantly influenced by adding 0.05% or 0.15% (w/w) (based on total solid content) plant water extracts or PGA. The specific volume of the bread increased, and the baking loss was reduced by adding the two plant water extracts of total solid 0.15% (w/w). The hardness and chewiness of the bread crumb were reduced to a level comparable to the crumb containing 0.05% (w/w) PGA. The results showed that the pumpkin leaf water extract could be an effective natural emulsifier with a high phenolic content for bakery products.

Keywords: hot-water extract, pumpkin leaf, chickpea, foaming, white pan bread

Introduction

Emulsifiers are often added during baking process and play an important role in dough stabilization, dough strengthening, crumb softening, and antistaling (Melis & Delcour, 2020). Commonly used baking emulsifiers include polysorbate 60, ethoxylated monoglycerides, succinylated monoglycerides, diacetyl tartaric acid esters of monoglycerides (DATEM), calcium stearoyl lactylate, sodium stearoyl lactylate, and propylene glycol alginate (PGA). The dough has a structure with gas cells and lipids dispersed in a gluten-starch matrix gas cell. Emulsifiers can adsorb on the surface of the gas cells to improve the stability of the gas cells, thereby stabilizing the dough, and can interact with the gluten to improve the gluten

E-mail : dchung@snu.ac.kr

networking, thereby strengthening the dough (Mills et al., 2003; Melis & Delcour, 2020). Emulsifiers can also reduce starch retrogradation by inhibiting the recrystallization of amylose, thereby providing a dough softening effect that prevents bread from hardening after baking and cooling (Ahmad et al., 2014; Melis & Delcour, 2020).

In these days, there has been a growing interest in naturally occurring food additives due to consumer concerns (Asioli et al., 2017; Vargas & Simsek, 2021). Synthetic emulsifiers have been reported in an animal study to affect the gut microbiome, leading to inflammation and obesity (Chassaing et al., 2015). Therefore, there is a growing demand for natural emulsifiers in food industry. Currently known natural emulsifiers can be categorized into polysaccharides such as gums and pectins, proteins such as whey protein, casein, egg protein, soy protein, and gelatin, phospholipids such as lecithin, and small molecules including saponins (Ozturk & McClements, 2016).

Aqueous plant extracts from oats, beets, argan, canola, and chickpea have been reported to have emulsifying properties, primarily due to proteins and saponins (Ralla et al., 2017;

^{*}Corresponding author: Donghwa Chung, Food Technology Major, Graduate School of Internatinal Agricultural Technology, Seoul National University, Pyeongchang, Gangwon 25354, Korea Tel: +82-33-339-5793

Received October 4, 2023; revised October 30, 2023; accepted October 30, 2023

Ralla et al., 2018; Lafarga et al., 2019). Polysaccharides, such as pectin and hemicellulose, may also be responsible for the emulsifying properties of plant extracts (Olorunsola et al., 2018). Polyphenols can exhibit Pickering emulsification effect by the formation of crystal structure and can enhance emulsifying properties through interaction with proteins (Zembyla et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2023). It was also reported that a hot-water extract of chickpea, called aquafaba, has similar foaming properties to egg white and can partially replace eggs in cake making (Mustafa et al., 2018; Lafarga et al., 2019).

In this study, firstly, the hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf, which is known to have strong antioxidant activity due to its high phenolic content (Kim et al., 2011), and of chickpea were prepared and investigated for their surface tension and foaming properties. Then, the effects of the plant water extracts on white pan bread baking were examined in comparison with the effect of propylene glycol alginate (PGA), a synthetic emulsifier widely used in bakery, to explore their potential as a natural emulsifier with antioxidant properties.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Pumpkin leaves and Canadian chickpeas were purchased from a local market (Hongcheon, Korea) and Daegu Agricultural Products Co., Ltd. (Gyeongju, Korea), respectively. Wheat flour, sugar, and salt, used in white pan bread baking, were purchased from CJ Co. (Seoul, Korea). Whole milk powder and unsalted butter were obtained from Seoul Dairy Cooperative (Seoul, Korea). Bakery yeast, dough enhancer, and propylene glycol alginate (PGA) were provided by SPC Research Institute of Food and Biotechnology (Seoul, Korea). Sodium carbonate (Na_2CO_2) was obtained from Daejung Co. Ltd. (Siheung, Korea). Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent and gallic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other regents were of analytical grade.

Preparation of hot-water plant extracts

Fresh pumpkin leaves were freeze-dried (FD-550, Tokyo Rikakikai Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and then ground at 25,000 rpm for 30s using a pulverizing machine (RT-N12HKW, Rong Tsong Precision Technology Co., Taichung, Taiwan), followed by sieving with a $300 \mu m$ sieve to prepare pumpkin leaf powder. The powder (25 g) was added to distilled water (475 g) at 80°C, stirred for 5 min, and extraction was performed in a shaking water bath at 80° C for 1 h. The powder suspension was then centrifuged (Supra R12, Hanil Scientific Inc.,

Gimpo, Korea) at $10000 \times g$ for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected to prepare hot-water extract of pumpkin leaf.

Fresh chickpeas were washed with tap water and then soaked in 3 times their weight in tap water at 25°C overnight. The chickpeas were removed and soaked in an equal weight of distilled water, then boiled for 12 min from the time the water started to boil with the lid closed and then left at room temperature for 5 h. The chickpea mixture was centrifuged at $10000 \times g$ for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected to prepare hot-water extract of chickpea.

Proximate analysis

The moisture, protein, lipid, carbohydrate, and ash contents of the plant water extracts were analyzed according to the methods of AOAC (AOAC, 2000). The moisture content was determined by mixing the plant water extracts with sea sand and drying it at 105°C for 24 h. The ash content was determined by carbonization of the extracts in an electric furnace (J-FMP38, Jisico, Seoul, Korea) at 550°C overnight. The lipid and protein contents were determined by the Soxhlet and Kjeldahl methods, respectively, and the carbohydrate content was obtained by subtracting the amount of moisture, protein, lipid, and ash from the total amount of the extracts.

Total phenolic content

The total phenolic content of the plant water extracts was determined by Folin-Ciocalteu's method with some modifications (Singleton & Rossi, 1965). Each plant water extract $(200 \mu L)$ was mixed with $200 \mu L$ Folin-Ciocalteu reagent in 2.6 mL distilled water and reacted for 6 min. Subsequently, $2 \text{ mL of } 7\% \text{ Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ was added and reacted in the dark at 25°C for 2 h. The absorbance was measured at 760nm using a UV spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). The total phenolic content was quantified using a standard curve with gallic acid as the standard, and expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/kg extract and mg GAE/g dry mass.

Surface tension

The surface tension (air-liquid interfacial tension) of the plant water extracts was measured by the Wilhelmy plate method at 25°C using a force tensiometer (K100, KRÜSS, Hamburg, Germany) (Phawaphuthanon et al., 2019). The solid content of the plant extracts was adjusted by dilution using citrate buffer (0.1 M, pH 5.6), and the PGA solution in citrate buffer was used as a control. Each plant water extract was placed in a sample vessel (SV20, KRÜSS) and the surface tension was measured using a platinum plate (PL01, KRÜSS). The surface tension was measured repeatedly at 2-min intervals until the standard deviation of the last 30 data was less than 0.1 mN/m.

Foaming property analysis

The foaming properties of the plant water extracts were analyzed by measuring the foaming capacity and foam stability at 25°C according to the method of Kilicli and Toker (2022). A volume of 30 mL of each plant water extract was poured into a 500 mL beaker and whipped for 4 min using a hand mixer (5KHM5110, KitchenAid, St Joseph, MI, USA) to generate foam. The foaming capacity and foam stability were calculated according to the following equations (1) and (2), respectively.

Foaming capacity (
$$
\%
$$
) = $\frac{\text{Foam volume after whipping}}{\text{Extract volume before whipping}} \times 100$ (1)

Foam stability (
$$
% = \frac{Foam
$$
 volume at 30 min after whipping \times 100 (2)

The solid content of the plant water extracts was adjusted by dilution using citrate buffer (0.1 M, pH 5.6), and the PGA solution in citrate buffer was used as a control.

Preparation of white pan bread

The white pan bread was prepared by the straight dough method according to AACC (2000) with some modifications, and its final composition is shown in Table 1. All ingredients except butter were mixed using a mixer (SP-800A, Spar Food Machine Co. Ltd., Taichung, Taiwan) at 130 rpm for 3 min

and 235 rpm for 2 min. The butter was then added and mixed at 130rpm for 3 min and 235 rpm for 10min. The gluten window test was used to check for gluten formation, and if not enough gluten was produced, the dough was mixed for an additional 2 min at 235 rpm. The primary fermentation of the dough was performed at 27° C and 75% relative humidity (RH) for 1 h using a fermenter (Electric Proofer, PBC-PDM8, Ilsintech, Hanam, Korea), and then the dough was divided into 380g portions for preshaping. The intermediate proofing was carried out at 27° C, 75% RH for 30 min, after which the dough was molded. The final fermentation was performed at 38° C, 80% RH for 1 h, and if the bread did not rise sufficiently, the fermentation was continued for another 5 min until the bread filled at least 90% of the mold. The bread baking was conducted for 25 min in an oven (OV750, Living Well Korea, Seoul, Korea), preheated to a top temperature of 180°C and a bottom temperature of 220°C, followed by cooling for 1 h at room temperature.

A total of six types of white pan bread were prepared as summarized in Table 1. As controls, bread without PGA and plant water extracts ($C_{\text{PGA}-}$) and bread containing only 0.05% (w/w) PGA (C_{PGA+}) were prepared. Two types of bread containing pumpkin leaf water extract were prepared: one prepared with 0.05% (w/w) water extract $(P_{0.05})$ and one prepared with 0.15% (w/w) water extract ($P_{0.15}$), based on total solid content of the extract. Two type of bread containing chickpea water extract were also prepared: one prepared with 0.05% (w/w) water extract $(A_{0.05})$ and one prepared with 0.15% (w/w) water extract $(A_{0.15})$, based on total solid content of the extract.

Table 1. Composition of white pan breads prepared with hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea

Ingredients $(\% , w/w)$	$C_{\text{PGA}-}$	$C_{\text{PGA}+}$	$\rm P_{0.05}$	$P_{0.15}$	$A_{0.05}$	$A_{0.15}$
Bread flour	52.91	52.88	52.91	52.91	52.91	52.91
Whole milk powder	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12
Dough enhancer	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Propylene glycol alginate (PGA)	Ω	0.05	$\mathbf{0}$	0	$\overline{0}$	Ω
Plant extract	θ	Ω	3.52	10.58	1.06	3.18
Sugar	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12
Salt	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06
Yeast	1.48	1.48	1.48	1.48	1.48	1.48
Butter	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.23
Tap water	35.98	35.96	32.46	25.40	34.92	32.80
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

 C_{PGA} : Control white pan bread prepared without PGA and plant water extracts

 C_{PGA} : Control white pan bread prepared with 0.05% PGA only
 P_{PGA} : White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid

 $_{\text{PGA}}$: Control white pan bread prepared without PGA and plant water extracts $_{\text{PGA}}$: Control white pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf $_{\text{0.05}}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.15% $P_{0.05}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf water extract
P. White pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf water extract

 $P_{0.15}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf water extract
A White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) objekted water extract

 $A_{0.05}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) chickpea water extract
A white pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) chickpea water extract

 $A_{0.15}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) chickpea water extract

Dynamic dough rheology analysis

The viscoelastic property of the dough was measured at 25°C using a hybrid rheometer (DHR-3, TA Instruments Inc., New Castle, DE, USA) according to McCann and Day (2013) with some modifications. A parallel-plate geometry with a diameter of 40mm was used, and the geometry gap was set to 2 mm. The dough was placed on the bottom of the rheometer, and the plate was slowly lowered to have a gap of 2.05 mm from the bottom. The excess dough that had spread outside the plate was removed, and the exposed surface was covered with Vaseline oil to prevent drying of the dough, after which the dough was allowed to rest for 10min before analysis. The strain sweep test was performed in the range of 0.003-100% at a frequency of 1 Hz to determine linear viscoelastic region (LVR). The frequency sweep test was carried out in the range of 0.1-100 Hz at a strain of 0.1%, a value within the LVR.

Baking property analysis

The height, specific volume, and baking loss of the white pan bread prepared were measured. The height of the bread was measured based on the highest part. The volume of the bread was measured by the seed displacement method using sesame seeds, and the specific volume was calculated using equation (3) (Lee et al., 2017; Le Loan et al., 2021). The mass of the dough and the mass of bread after baking were measured, and the baking loss was calculated using equation (4).

Specific loaf volume
$$
(cm^3/g) = \frac{\text{Bread volume}}{\text{Bread mass}}
$$
 (3)

Baking loss (
$$
\%
$$
) = $\frac{\text{Dough mass} - \text{Bread mass}}{\text{Dough mass}} \times 100$ (4)

Textural analysis

The textural properties of the white pan bread prepared were analyzed by texture profile analysis (TPA) using a texture analyzer (TA1, AMETEK Lloyd Instruments Ltd., Largo, FL, USA) according to the AACC method (AACC, 2000) with some modifications. The crumb of the bread was taken from the middle of the bread, cut into cubes (2 cm \times 2 cm),

and then compressed in two cycles to 45% of the bread cube thickness using a cylindrical probe (50mm diameter) at a speed of 2 mm/s with a 10s waiting time between the compression. The hardness, springiness, chewiness, and cohesiveness of the crumb were determined using the TPA profiles obtained.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were conducted at least in triplicate. Data were expressed as the mean±standard deviation. Statistical analyses were carried out by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test at $p<0.05$ using SPSS software (version 26.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results and Discussion

Proximate composition and total phenolic contents of hot-water plant extracts

The proximate composition and total phenolic contents of hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea are shown in Table 2. No fat was detected in both hot-water extracts. For the pumpkin leaf water extract, the contents of protein, carbohydrate, and ash ranged from 0.4-0.6% (w/w), with a total solid content of only 1.5% (w/w), and the total phenolic content was measured to be 845.5 mg GAE/kg extract (56.8 mg GAE/g dry mass). The chickpea water extract had a relatively high protein and carbohydrate contents of 1.2% and 3.1%, respectively, and a total solid content of 5%, but a relatively low total phenolic content of 498.9 mg GAE/kg extract (10.0 mg GAE/g dry mass). The total phenolic and total solid contents of the chickpea water extract were similar to the reported values of aquafaba from commercial canned chickpeas (Raikos et al., 2020).

Surface tension of hot-water plant extracts

The surface tension of the two types of plant water extract and PGA solution was measured at 25°C with respect to total solid content (Fig. 1). The citrate buffer used to dilute the plant water extracts and prepare the PGA solution had a surface tension of 73.6 mM/m, similar to the surface tension of 25° C

Table 2. Proximate composition and total phenolic contents of hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea

Water extracts	Proximate composition $(\% , w/w)$					Total phenolic contents		
	Moisture	Protein	Fat	Carbohydrate	Ash	mg GAE/kg extract	mg GAE/g dry mass	
Pumpkin leaf	98.5	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.6	845.5	56.4	
Chickpea	95.0		$\rm 0.0$		0.7	498.9	10.0	

GAE: gallic acid equivalents

water of 72.0 mN/m. The PGA solution (positive control) showed a surface tension of 44.7 mN/m at a PGA content of 2.0%, and the surface tension increased to 62.8 mN/m as the PGA content decreased to 0.001%. Huang et al. (2001) reported a similar surface tension for PGA solution (47.8 mN/ m at 0.5% PGA content).

The surface tension of the pumpkin leaf water extract (1.5% total solid content) was 43.7 mN/m and also tended to increase with decreasing total solid content. At the same total solid content, the pumpkin leaf water extract showed a lower surface tension than the PGA solution (Fig. 1), indicating that the pumpkin leaf water extract may have better air-liquid interfacial activity, i.e., better foaming effect, compared to PGA. The surface-active properties of pumpkin leaf water extract are likely due to the combined action of several surface-active substances, including not only proteins $(0.5\% , w/w)$, but also polysaccharides such as pectin and hemicellulose, and saponins, which are expected to be present in trace amounts (Ralla et al., 2017; Olorunsola et al., 2018; Ralla et al., 2018). In addition, phenolic compounds in pumpkin leaf water extract (845.5 mg GAE/kg extract) can form crystals, exhibiting the Pickering effect, or interact covalently or non-covalently with proteins to enhance the emulsifying effect of proteins (Zembyla et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2023).

The chickpea water extract (5.0% total solid content) exhibited a surface tension of 48.3 mN/m, which is slightly higher than the pumpkin leaf water extract (1.5% total solid content) and PGA solution (2.0%) (Fig. 1). This indicates that despite its higher total solid content, the chickpea water extract has a relatively low air-liquid interface activity compared to

Fig. 1. Surface tension of hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea and PGA solution with respect to total solid content at 25° C.

the pumpkin leaf water extract and PGA solution. However, for the chickpea water extract, unlike the other two types of liquids, there was no significant change in surface tension when the total solid content was reduced to 0.005% (Fig. 1). When the total solid content was further reduced below 0.005%, the surface tension increased to a level comparable to that of pumpkin leaf water extract. The surface activity of chickpea water extract is mainly due to the proteins present in large quantities (Mustafa et al., 2018; Lafarga et al., 2019).

Foaming properties of hot-water plant extracts

The foaming capacity and foam stability of the two types of plant water extract and PGA solution were determined at 25°C as a function of total solid content (Fig. 2). For the PGA solution (positive control), the surface tension increased as the PGA content decreased from 2.0% to 0.001% (Fig. 1), but the foaming capacity was measured in the range of 213-290% over a PGA content of 0.01-1.0% and showed no dependence

Fig. 2. Foaming capacity (a) and foam stability (b) of hotwater extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea and PGA solution with respect to total solid content at 25°C .

on PGA content (Fig. 2a). This is probably because as the PGA content decreased, the surface tension of the solution decreased, but the solution viscosity also decreased, which facilitated the entry of air for foam formation (Nilsen-Nygaard et al., 2016; Phawaphuthanon et al., 2019). The foam stability exhibited similar values of 51-55% in the PGA content range of 0.1-1.0%, but decreased sharply as the PGA content was lowered, showing a value of 21% when the PGA content reached 0.01% (Fig. 2b). The results indicated that when the PGA content was lower than 0.1%, the foaming capacity was maintained due to the lower viscosity, but the stability of foams was greatly reduced.

For the pumpkin leaf water extract (1.5% total solid content), the foaming capacity was 406%, which is about twice as high as the 1.0% PGA solution (Fig. 2a). However, as the total solid content decreased, the foaming capacity decreased sharply, resulting in a lower foaming capacity compared to the PGA solution, and almost no foam was formed when the total solid content reached 0.01%. The decrease in foaming capacity with decreasing total solid content may be due to the increase in surface tension (Fig. 1). The foam stability of the pumpkin leaf water extract was about 56% in the range of 0.15-1.5% total solid content, which was similar to the values of PGA solution with 0.1-1.0% PGA content, but it decreased sharply as the total solid content decreased, showing 0% when the total solid content reached 0.01% (Fig. 2b). The results indicated that the pumpkin leaf water extract (1.5% total solid content) had forming properties comparable to the PGA solution immediately after extraction, but relatively poor foaming properties when diluted.

The chickpea water extract (5.1% total solid content) showed a relatively high foaming capacity of 574%, compared to the pumpkin leaf water extract (1.5% total solid content) and PGA solution (1.0%), despite its high surface tension (Fig. 2a). This is probably because proteins, the main surface-active substance in the chickpea water extract, were adsorbed at the air-liquid interface, forming a protein film (Phawaphuthanon et al., 2019). However, the foaming capacity decreased rapidly and was lower than that of the pumpkin leaf water extract, as the total solid content decreased to 1.5% (Fig. 2a). The foaming capacity steadily decreased as the total solid content was further reduced, with no foam formation observed at a total solid content of 0.01% (Fig. 2a). The foam stability of the chickpea water extract was significantly better than that of the pumpkin leaf water extract or PGA solution when diluted until the total solid content reached 0.1% (Fig. 2b), due to the protein film formed at the air-liquid interface (Phawaphuthanon

et al., 2019). However, when the total solid content was lower than 0.1%, the foam stability decreased sharply and showed values similar to those of the pumpkin leaf water extract (Fig. 2b).

Viscoelastic properties of dough prepared with hotwater plant extracts

The viscoelastic properties of white pan bread dough containing either 0.05% or 0.15% (based on total solid content)

Fig. 3. Strain sweep dependency (a) and frequency sweep dependency (b) of storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli and frequency sweep dependency of tan δ (= G''/G') (c) for dough prepared with hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea and with PGA solution.

of the two plant water extracts or PGA are shown in Fig. 3. In the strain sweep test (at 1 Hz frequency), all doughs, including the control, exhibited similar G' (storage modulus) and G'' (loss modulus) values and showed a characteristic of solid with G' greater than G" over the strain range tested (Fig. 3a). The LVR was observed in the strain range of 0.01-0.12%, and above this strain range, a nonlinear region was observed where the moduli decreased with increasing strain due to deformation of the dough structure. In the frequency sweep test (at 0.1% strain), all doughs also exhibited similar G' and G'' values, with both moduli increasing with increasing oscillatory frequency (Fig. 3b). The tan δ (= G''/G') decreased with increasing frequency for frequencies below 1 rad/s, but tended to increase with increasing frequency for frequencies above 1 rad/s, with values in the range of 0.1-0.5 for almost all frequencies tested (Fig. 3c). When frequency ≤ 1 rad/s, increasing the frequency can cause a kneading effect, which strengthens the gluten structure and improves the elasticity, resulting in a decrease in tan δ (Correa et al., 2010; Ziobro et al., 2013). However, when frequency > 1 rad/s, the increase in frequency may break down the gluten structure formed, reducing the elasticity, and thus increasing tan δ (Correa et al., 2010; Ziobro et al., 2013). Considering that G'>G'' in all

measurements (meaning that elasticity prevails over viscous nature), the dependence of the moduli on the oscillatory frequency, and the range of tan δ values, the prepared dough can be classified as a weak gel (Ziobro et al., 2013).

Effects of hot-water plant extracts on baking properties

The white pan breads baked in this study did not show any significant difference in appearance, regardless of whether PGA or plant water extracts were added (Fig. 4). The height, specific volume, and baking loss of the white pan bread prepared with either 0.05% or 0.15% (based on total solid content) of the two plant water extracts or PGA were determined (Table 3). For the height, the bread with 0.15% pumpkin leaf water extract $(P_{0.15})$ had the highest value of 13.9 cm, while the bread with 0.05% chickpea water extract had the lowest value.

For the specific volume, the negative control bread (C_{PCA}) and the two breads prepared with 0.05% plant water extracts $(P_{0.05}$ and $A_{0.05})$ showed similar values (4.94-4.97 cm³/g), while the breads prepared with 0.05% PGA or 0.15% plant water extracts had larger values $(5.09 - 5.24 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g})$. The increase in the specific volume indicates that the gas cells formed during the baking were better developed and stabilized, which is

Fig. 4. Appearance of white pan bread prepared with hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea and PGA solution: (a) C_{PGA} , (b) C_{PGA} , (c) $P_{0.05}$, (d) $P_{0.15}$, (e) $A_{0.05}$, and (f) $A_{0.15}$, C_{PGA} and C_{PGA} are control breads prepared without PGA and $_{PGA-}$, (b) C_{PGA+}, (c) P_{0.05}, (d) P_{0.15}, (e) A_{0.05}, and (f) A_{0.15}. C_{PGA}₋ and C_{PGA+} are control breads prepared without PGA and 0.05% PGA, respectively. P_{0.05} and P_{0.15} are the breads prepared with 0.0 with 0.05% PGA, respectively. $P_{0.05}$ and $P_{0.15}$ are the breads prepared with 0.05% and 0.15% (based on total solid) pumpkin
leaf water extrect, respectively. A solid are the breads prepared with 0.05% and 0.15% (bas leaf water extract, respectively. $A_{0.05}$ and $A_{0.15}$ are the breads prepared with 0.05% and 0.15% (based on total solid) chickpea water extract, respectively.

 C_{PGA} : Control white pan bread prepared without PGA and plant water extracts P_{GA}: Control white pan bread prepared without PGA and plant water extracts P_{GAF} : Control white pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf P_{GAF} : White pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on t

 C_{PGA} : Control white pan bread prepared with 0.05% PGA only
P. White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid

 $P_{0.05}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf water extract
P. White pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf water extract

 $P_{0.15}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) pumpkin leaf water extract
A White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) objekted water extract

 $A_{0.05}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.05% (based on total solid) chickpea water extract
A strikt pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) chickpea water extract

 $A_{0.15}$: White pan bread prepared with 0.15% (based on total solid) chickpea water extract

probably due to the adsorption of PGA or the plant water extracts at the gas cell interface and the resulting surface-active behavior (Melis & Delcour, 2020).

For the baking loss, the negative control bread (C_{PGA}) showed the highest value (15.3%), while the other breads had lower values of 12.0-13.0%. The addition of PGA or the two types of plant water extract may have increased the waterholding capacity of the dough, thereby reducing the baking loss (Kotoki & Deka. 2010; Chauhan et al., 2016).

It should be noted here that polyphenols can positively or negatively affect yeast metabolism and gluten formation, depending on the type of polyphenols (Mekoue et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Kalinina et al., 2023). Therefore, polyphenols in the plant water extracts may also be one of the reasons why the extracts have positive effects on the baking properties, but further investigation is needed to clarify the role of polyphenols.

Textural properties of white pan bread

The textural properties of the crumb of white pan bread

baked with either 0.05% or 0.15% (based on total solid content) of the two plant water extracts or PGA are shown in Fig. 5. For the two plant water extracts or PGA are shown in Fig. 5.
The negative control bread (C_{PGA-}) showed the highest crumb The negative control bread (C_{PGA}) showed the highest crumb
hardness of 0.57 N (Fig. 5a). This is because C_{PGA} has a small specific volume due to low gas cell formation capacity and a high baking loss due to low water-holding capacity (Table 3), resulting in the formation of a relatively hard bread crumb. The crumb hardness was significantly reduced with the addition of plant water extracts or PGA, especially with 0.15% pumpkin leaf water extract $(P_{0.15})$ or 0.05% PGA (C_{PGA+}), which resulted in a low hardness of 0.38 N (Fig. 5a). This is because the plant water extracts and PGA adsorbed on the gas cell interface of the dough to stabilize the gas cells, and interacted with gluten to enhance gluten networking to strengthen the dough, thereby increasing the specific volume and improving the water-holding capacity to reduce baking loss, resulting in the formation of a larger and softer loaf (Mills et al., 2003; Kotoki & Deka. 2010; Chauhan et al., 2016; Melis & Delcour, 2020).

Fig. 5. Hardness (a), springiness (b), cohesiveness (c), and chewiness (d) of white pan bread crumb baked with hot-water extracts of pumpkin leaf and chickpea and with PGA solution.

No significant differences were observed between the bread samples in springiness, which represents the elasticity of bread texture, and cohesiveness, which indicates the cohesive property of the texture (Fig. 4b and 4c). Thus, the chewiness $(=\hat{\theta})$ hardness \times springiness \times cohesiveness), which represents the chewability of bread, showed a similar trend to the hardness, with C_{PGA} bread having the highest chewiness (0.41 N), and $P_{0.15}$ and C_{PGA+} breads having significantly lower values (0.28-0.31 N) (Fig. 5d).

Conclusions

When total solid content $\geq 0.15\%$, the hot-water extract of pumpkin leaf showed better air-liquid surface tension compared to the hot-water extract of chickpea or aqueous PGA solution, and similarly good foaming capacity with slightly lower foam stability than the chickpea water extract. The plant water extracts did not significantly affect the viscoelasticity of bread dough having a weak gel structure, and when added at 0.15%, the bread specific volume increased and the baking loss was reduced. In particular, when 0.15% pumpkin leaf water extract was added, the crumb hardness and chewiness decreased to the level of the crumb prepared with 0.05% PGA. This is because the surface-active substances in pumpkin leaf water extract adsorbed to the surface of gas cells in the dough, increasing the stability of gas cells, and also interacted with proteins to strengthen the gluten structure, taking over the role of a bakery emulsifier, resulting in the formation of a larger and softer bread. The results indicate that the pumpkin leaf water extract can be used in a baking process as an effective natural emulsifier with high-phenolic content (56.8 mg GAE/g dry mass). Further research is needed to determine the effects of adding pumpkin leaf water extract, even in trace amounts, on bread flavor.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the SPC Group (grant No. A100-20220035), Republic of Korea. Thanks to the SPC Group for granting permission to publish this article.

References

- AACC. 2000. Approved Methods of the AACC. $9th$ ed: American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN, USA.
- Ahmad A, Arshad N, Ahmed Z, Bhatti MS, Zahoor T, Anjum N, Ahmad H, Afreen A. 2014. Perspective of surface active

agents in baking industry: an overview. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 54: 208-224.

- AOAC. 2000. Official Method of Analysis of AOAC. $17th$ ed: AOAC International, Rockville, MD, USA.
- Asioli D, Aschemann-Witzel J, Caputo V, Vecchio R, Annunziata A, Næs T, Varela P. 2017. Making sense of the "clean label" trends: A review of consumer food choice behavior and discussion of industry implications. Food Res. Int. 99: 58-71.
- Chassaing B, Koren O, Goodrich JK, Poole AC, Srinivasan S, Ley RE, Gewirtz AT. 2015. Dietary emulsifiers impact the mouse gut microbiota promoting colitis and metabolic syndrome. Nature 519: 92-96.
- Chauhan A, Saxena DC, Singh S. 2016. Physical, textural, and sensory characteristics of wheat and amaranth flour blend cookies. Cogent Food Agric. 2: 1125773.
- Correa MJ, Añon MC, Pérez GT, Ferrero C. 2010. Effect of modified celluloses on dough rheology and microstructure. Food Res. Int. 43: 780-787.
- Huang X, Kakuda Y, Cui W. 2001. Hydrocolloids in emulsions: Particle size distribution and interfacial activity. Food Hydrocoll. 15: 533-542.
- Kalinina I, Fatkullin R, Naumenko N, Popova N, Stepanova D. 2023. The influence of flavonoid dihydroquercetin in the enzymatic process of dough ripening and the antioxidant properties. Ferment. 9: 263.
- Kilicli M, Toker OS. 2022. Some physicochemical and technological properties of cooking water of pulses as a canned industry waste: effect of ultrasound treatment during soaking. Int. J. Food Eng. 18: 105-118.
- Kim MJ, Hong CO, Nam MH, Lee KW. 2011. Antioxidant effects and physiological activities of pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata Duch.) extract from different aerial parts. Korean J. Food Sci. Technol. 43: 195-199.
- Kotoki D, Deka SC. 2010. Baking loss of bread with special emphasis on increasing water holding capacity. J. Food Sci. Technol. 47: 128-131.
- Lafarga T, Villaró S, Bobo G, Aguiló-Aguayo I. 2019. Optimisation of the pH and boiling conditions needed to obtain improved foaming and emulsifying properties of chickpea aquafaba using a response surface methodology. Int. J. Gastron. Food Sci. 18: 100177.
- Le Loan TK, Thuy NM, Le Tri Q, Park S. 2021. Characterization of gluten-free rice bread prepared using a combination of potato tuber and ramie leaf enzymes. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 30: 521-529.
- Lee JS, Yoon SJ, Ryu GH. 2017. Quality characteristics of dough and bread added with extruded chestnut shell powder under various conditions. Food Eng. Prog. 21:351-359.
- McCann TH, Day L. 2013. Effect of sodium chloride on gluten

network formation, dough microstructure and rheology in relation to breadmaking. J. Cereal Sci. 57: 444-452.

- Mekoue NJ, Vernhet A, Julien-Ortiz A, Sieczkowski N, Mouret JR. 2019. Effect of grape must polyphenols on yeast metabolism during alcoholic fermentation. Food Res. Int. 121: 161-175.
- Melis S, Delcour JA. 2020. Impact of wheat endogenous lipids on the quality of fresh bread: Key terms, concepts, and underlying mechanisms. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 19: 3715-3754.
- Mills ENC, Wilde PJ, Salt LJ, Skeggs P. 2003. Bubble formation and stabilization in bread dough. Food Bioprod. Process. 81: 189-193.
- Mustafa R, He Y, Shim YY, Reaney MJT. 2018. Aquafaba, wastewater from chickpea canning, functions as an egg replacer in sponge cake. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 53: 2247- 2255.
- Nilsen-Nygaard J, Hattrem MN, Draget KI. 2016. Propylene glycol alginate (PGA) gelled foams: A systematic study of surface activity and gelling properties as a function of degree of esterification. Food Hydrocoll. 57: 80-91.
- Olorunsola EO, Akpabio EI, Adedokun MO, Ajibola DO. 2018. Emulsifying properties of hemicelluloses. In: Science and Technology Behind Nanoemulsions. Karakuş S. (Ed.). IntechOpen, pp 29-42.
- Ozturk B, McClements DJ. 2016. Progress in natural emulsifiers for utilization in food emulsions. Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 7: 1-6.
- Phawaphuthanon N, Yu D, Ngamnikom P, Shin IS, Chung D. 2019. Effect of fish gelatin-sodium alginate interactions on foam formation and stability. Food Hydrocoll. 88: 119-126.
- Raikos V, Hayes H, Ni H. 2020. Aquafaba from commercially canned chickpeas as potential egg replacer for the development of vegan mayonnaise: recipe optimization and storage stability. Int. J. Food Sci. Tech. 55: 1935-1942.
- Ralla T, Salminen H, Edelmann M, Dawid C, Hofmann T, Weiss J. 2017. Sugar beet extract (Beta vulgaris L.) as a new natural emulsifier: emulsion formation. J. Agric. Food Chem. 65(20): 4153-4160.
- Ralla T, Salminen H, Edelmann M, Dawid C, Hofmann T, Weiss J. 2018. Oat bran extract (Avena sativa L.) from food by-product streams as new natural emulsifier. Food Hydrocoll. 81: 253-262.
- Singleton VL, Rossi JA. 1965. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 16: 144-158.
- Vargas MCA, Simsek S. 2021. Clean label in bread. Foods 10: 2054.
- Xu J, Wang W, Li Y. 2019. Dough properties, bread quality, and associated interactions with added phenolic compounds: A review. J. Funct. Foods 52: 629-639.
- Zembyla M, Murray BS, Sarkar A. 2018. Water-in-oil Pickering emulsions stabilized by water-insoluble polyphenol crystals. Langmuir 34: 10001-10011.
- Zhang X, Xu Z, Zhang S, Wang Y, Li Y, Qi B. 2023. Improving the biological activity and emulsification ability of soybean meal hydrolysate via non-covalent interactions with polyphenols. LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 182: 114869.
- Ziobro R, Witczak T, Juszczak L, Korus J. 2013. Supplementation of gluten-free bread with non-gluten proteins. Effect on dough rheological properties and bread characteristic. Food Hydrocoll. 32: 213-220.

Author Information

Hyeonmin Shin: Seoul National University, Graduate Student Jihye An: Seoul National University, Graduate Student Sungahm Ahn: CJ BIO Research Institute, Researcher

Hee Chung: Seoul National University, Senior Researcher

Yerim Na: SPC Group, Senior Researcher

Sung Ho Lee: SPC Group, Director of Research Institute of Food and Biotechnology

Sung Hoon Park: Gangneung-Wonju National University, Assistant Professor

JaeHwan Lee: Sungkyunkwan University, Professor Donghwa Chung: Seoul National University, Professor